UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/509,239	09/24/2004	Johannes Johanna Van Herk	NL 020256	1780
	7590 10/01/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001		HOLMES, REX R		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3762	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/01/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/509,2	239	VAN HERK ET A	VAN HERK ET AL.			
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		REX HO	LMES	3762				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica r Reply	ntion appears on th	ne cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after: - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no elication. ory period will apply and l, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply will expire SIX (6) MONTHS epilication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <i>05 August 200</i>	9					
•)⊠ This action is						
—		—		prosecution as to th	e merits is			
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	on of Claims		,,	.,				
-								
,	Claim(s) <u>1,2,6,9-11,15-18,20,21 and 23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· ·	Claim(s) <u>1-2, 6, 9-11, 15-18 and 20-21</u>	<u>and 23</u> is/are rej	ected.					
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by t	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	e correction is requ	ired if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice Notice (3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date)- 9 48)	Paper No(s)/Ma	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/509,239 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.
- 2. The amendment to the claims filed 8/5/09 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 1-2, 6, 9-11, 15-18 and 20-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunseath, Jr. (U.S. Pat. 4,669,479 hereinafter "Dunseath") in view of Lundback (U.S. Pat. 4,646,747).

Application/Control Number: 10/509,239

Art Unit: 3762

Regarding claims 1-2, 6, 9-11, 15-18 and 20-21 and 23, Dunseath teaches that it is known to use conductive elastic materials for electrodes and to connect them with elastic straps as set forth in (e.g. Col. 4, II. 32-62; Col. 7, II. 38-53) to provide an electrode that can conform to the body and maintain intimate contact with the skin. Dunseath further discloses that the system is connected to an external monitor for power and analysis (e.g. Col. 6, II. 13-19). Dunseath discloses the claimed invention except for the elastic electrode having projections on its surface. However, Lundback discloses an electrode (e.g. 1) with a surface exhibiting uniform projections (e.g. 4) to provide good contact especially in cases wherein there is hair growth on the skin (e.g. Fig. 1, Col. 3, II. 51-57). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the conductive surface as taught by Dunseath, with the surface projections as taught by Lundback, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of an electrode with a conductive elastic surface with projections for providing a conductive surface that can conform to the body and maintain intimate contact with the skin even if there is hair growth.

Page 3

Further it is well known in the art to use the same material for the projections to reduce costs and ease of production as the electrode and projections can be made at the same time.

6. In the alternative, Dunseath in view of Lundback discloses the claimed invention except for explicitly stating that the electrode and projections are made of the same material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the same material, since it has been held to be within the

Application/Control Number: 10/509,239

Art Unit: 3762

general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 4

7. Claims 9-11, 18 and 20-21, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byers et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,969,468 hereinafter "Byers") in view of Booker et al. (U.S. Pub. 2003/0114906 hereinafter "Booker").

Regarding claims 11 and 18, Byers discloses a electrode array for sensing physiological signals through the skin, made out of a conductive flexible/stretchable material with projections made out of metal that are arranged in a uniform pattern on the surface of the electrode (e.g. Col. 7, II. 18-25; Col. 10, II. 22-30; Col. 12, II. 25-40; Figs. 4-6). Byers further discloses that the electrode body is sandwiched between two insulating layers with the tips of the projections sticking through the layers (e.g. Fig. 4) ("8" & "10") & Col. 6, II. 38-53) but fails to discloses that the projections and electrode backing are elastic and are used in combination with a storage and analysis device. However Booker discloses a system for monitoring ecg, evaluating ecg and then stimulating that utilizes elastic electrodes (23) with elastic projections (23) to provide a electrode that can conform to tissue so that it maintains constant contact(Fig. 9, ¶42). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Byers, with elastic electrodes and projections as taught by Booker, since such a modification would provide the electrodes and projections with elastic properties for providing the predictable result of elastic electrodes with projections that conform to tissue and maintain constant contact without moving.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,239

Art Unit: 3762

8. Regarding claims 9-10 and 20-21, Byers in view of Booker teaches the claimed invention except for the elastic layer being a conductive rubber and the insulating layers being plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the elastic layer with a conductive rubber and the insulating layer with a plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Page 5

- 9. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byers in view of Booker as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Ingman (U.S. Pub. 2002/0082668).
- 10. Regarding claim 12, Byers in view of Booker discloses the claimed invention except for the holes to collect sweat and prevent short circuiting. Ingman teaches that it is known to use holes in the electrode as set forth in Paragraph 16 to prevent sweat from short circuiting the conducting layer of the electro-patch. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the electrode as taught by Byers in view of Booker, with holes through the electrode as taught by Ingman, since such a modification would provide the predictable result of a electrode with holes to prevent sweat from short circuiting the conducting layer of the electrode. Further it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to put the holes between the projections as the only place to put the holes in the body of the electrode would be in the spaces between the projections.

Art Unit: 3762

11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byers in view of Booker and further in view of Granek et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,729,377 hereinafter "Granek").

Regarding claim 19, Byers in view of Booker discloses a electrode array for sensing physiological signals through the skin, made out of a conductive flexible/stretchable material with projections made out of metal that are arranged in a uniform pattern on the surface of the electrode but fails to disclose that the electrodes are positioned on a garment. However Granek discloses a system that uses a garment to connect and hold flexible electrodes near the body in a secure way for sensing and stimulation purposes as set forth in Columns one and two. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Byers, with a garment to secure electrodes to the body as taught by Granek, since such a modification would provide the predictable results of a way of holding electrodes with a garment for providing a secure way to position electrodes on a body in a comfortable and organized way.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to REX HOLMES whose telephone number is (571)272-8827. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on 571-272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,239 Page 7

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/R. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 3762 /George R Evanisko/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3762